

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/000,421	10/31/2001	Eugene Khor	6565-61577/RJP 9699		
7:	590 03/10/2003				
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN CAMPBELL LEIGH & WHINSTON, LLP One World Trade Center			EXAMINER		
			DICUS, TAMRA		
121 S.W. Salmon Street, Suite 1600 Portland, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	3
			1774		
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	1 0 1: 1/->	#>>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/000,421	KHOR ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tamra L. Dicus	1774					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1-2	29-02 (IDS)						
	his action is non-final.						
<u> </u>		ers prosecution as to the me	urite ie				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-46 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
_a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mm no. /DTO 442\ D == == N = / \					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infe	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/000,421

Art Unit: 1774

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-29, 37, 39-40, drawn to a method of making a chitin film, classified in class 427, subclass 101.
  - II. Claims 38, 41-44, drawn to a chitin film, classified in class 428, subclass 212.
  - III. Claims 45-46, drawn to an absorbent-matrix classified in class 525, subclass 7.
  - IV. Claims 30-36, drawn to a method of forming an absorbent-matrix classified in class 536, subclass 1.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the chitin film of I can be made by removing solvent prior to pressing.
- 3. Inventions of I and III are independent inventions, one not requiring the particulars of the other. The absorbent-matrix III is not necessarily required in the method of I.
- 4. Inventions are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are a method of making two different inventions drawn to a chitin film of I and an

Art Unit: 1774

absorbent-matrix of IV. The matrix of IV has a different function than the film of I, since the matrix of IV is related to a polymeric composition.

- 5. Inventions I and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the matrix of IV can be used in fibers.
- 6. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as being used in fibers, gels, or filaments. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 7. Inventions of II and IV are independent inventions, one not requiring the particulars of the other. The chitin film of II is not necessarily required in the matrix of IV.
- 8. Inventions III and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the polymerization may be performed by reacting two polymers together.
- 9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/000,421

Art Unit: 1774

Page 4

10. A telephone call was made to Richard Polley on 2/24/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be

examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tamra L. Dicus whose telephone number is (703) 305-3809. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-4:30 p.m., alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (703) 308-0449. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-8329 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Tamra L. Dicus

Examiner

Art Unit 1774

March 6, 2003

CVNTMA M. KELLY SUPERMOTERY PATERIT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Cynth Hilely